

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/32 /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date:

2 8 JAN 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1082 OF 2014.

Shri Dyaneshwar B. Katkar, (PSI)
R/o. B-4, Sai Nagari Co-Op. Socy., Kalyan Nagar, Yerawada, Pune-06.
APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
- 3 The Commissioner of Police, Pune City, Pune.

2 The D.G. of Police, S.B. Road, M.S., Mumbai-1.

 $\mathbf{...}$ RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

:

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **22**nd day of **January**, **2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

Smt. P. Mahajan, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE

22.01.2016.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

E:\Sachin\Judical Order\ORDER-2016\Januury-16\27.01.2016\O.A. No. 1082 of 14-22.01.16.doc

"submant's orders

O.A.1082/2014

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This OA can now be disposed of on a short point. The original prayer was for a direction to consider the case of the Applicant for promotion as API subject to the result of the pending prosecution under the G.R. of 2nd April, 1976 and also for the grant of deemed date of promotion to the post of API. Pending OA, by order of 13.1.2016 made by the Director General of Police, the Applicant has been promoted as API. A copy of that order is tendered by Mrs. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the same is taken on record. The name of the Applicant appears at Serial No.39 thereof.

Therefore, now the scope of the OA gets narrowed down to the issue of deemed date of promotion. Mrs. Mahajan tenders a copy of the representation made by the Applicant on 20th January, 2016 and prays that a time limit be fixed for a decision thereof by the Government. In the context of the facts such as they are, we are of the opinion that such a time bound frame of decision of the said representation can safely be laid down. This OA is disposed of with a note that the Applicant has already been promoted pending OA and with a direction to the Respondents 2 & 3 to decide the representation of the Applicant dated 20.1.2016 within two months from today. No order as to costs.

> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 22.01.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 22.01.2016

(skw)

Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers

TRUDUU